



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

## Flexible and responsive growth strategy of the Ediacaran skeletal Cloudina from the Nama Group, Namibia

### Citation for published version:

Wood, R, Curtis, A, Penny, A, Zhuravlev, AY, Curtis-Walcott, S, Linpinge, S & Bowyer, F 2017, 'Flexible and responsive growth strategy of the Ediacaran skeletal Cloudina from the Nama Group, Namibia', *Geology*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 259-262. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G38807.1>

### Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1130/G38807.1](https://doi.org/10.1130/G38807.1)

### Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

### Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

### Published In:

Geology

### Publisher Rights Statement:

© Geological Society of America

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 Flexible and responsive growth strategy of the Ediacaran  
2 skeletal *Cloudina* from the Nama Group, Namibia

3 Rachel Wood<sup>1\*</sup>, Andrew Curtis<sup>1</sup>, Amelia Penny<sup>1</sup>, Andrey Yu. Zhuravlev<sup>2</sup>, Shona  
4 Curtis-Walcott<sup>1</sup>, Secilie Iinpinge<sup>3</sup>, and Frederick Bowyer<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>*School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, James Hutton Road, Edinburgh EH9*  
6 *3FE, UK.*

7 <sup>2</sup>*Department of Biological Evolution, Faculty of Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State*  
8 *University, Leninskie Gory, Moscow 119991, Russia*

9 <sup>3</sup>*Ministry of Mines and Energy, 6 Aviation Road, Windhoek, Namibia*

10 \*E-mail: Rachel.Wood@ed.ac.uk

11 **ABSTRACT**

12 The Ediacaran skeletal tubular putative metazoan *Cloudina* occurs globally in  
13 carbonate settings, which provided both lithified substrates and minimized the cost of  
14 skeletonization. Habitat and substrate preferences, and the relationship of *Cloudina* to  
15 other metazoans, have not been fully documented so we know little as to its ecological  
16 demands or community dynamics. *In situ Cloudina* from the Nama Group, Namibia (ca.  
17 550–541 Ma) formed mutually-attached reefs composed of successive assemblages in  
18 shallow, high-energy environments, and also communities attached to either stromatolites  
19 in storm-influenced deep inner-ramp settings or thin microbial mats in lower energy  
20 habitats. Each assemblage shows statistically distinct tube diameter cohorts, but in sum  
21 *Cloudina* shows an exponential frequency distribution of diameter size. In reefs, we  
22 document a periodicity of size variation, where mean, minimum and maximum tube

diameters vary together and show a systematic increase toward the top of each assemblage. We conclude that most Nama Group *Cloudina* represent one ecologically generalist taxon with highly variable size, that size was environmentally mediated, and that *Cloudina* could respond rapidly to periodic environmental changes. While Nama Group skeletal metazoans coexisted with soft-bodied biota, there was no apparent ecological interaction as they were segregated into lithified carbonate, and non-lithified clastic microbial mat communities, respectively. We infer that ecological flexibility allowed *Cloudina* to form varied communities that colonized diverse carbonate substrates under low levels of interspecific substrate competition. This is in notable contrast to the earliest Cambrian skeletal epibenthos that formed biodiverse reef communities with specialist niche occupancy.

## INTRODUCTION

The oldest metazoans are known from the Ediacaran (ca. 575-541 Ma), but not only are the affinities of many Ediacaran metazoans poorly constrained, but so are the ecological dynamics of the communities they formed. Given the importance of key innovations such as biomineralization, reef-building, new trace fossil behavioral types and predation as well as ecosystem engineering in fueling the Cambrian Radiation, documentation of the terminal Ediacaran ecological landscape is fundamental to understanding the rise of metazoans.

The non-uniformitarian Ediacaran marine world was characterized by a heterogeneous redox landscape (e.g., Wood et al., 2015), and carbonate and clastic seafloors were covered by extensive microbial mats in the absence of deep bioturbation (Droser et al., 2005). All known Ediacaran biomineralized metazoans are exclusively

benthic and found in carbonate settings where the physiological cost of skeletonization may have been minimized by both high carbonate supersaturation and the low metabolic demand of an immobile habit (Wood, 2011).

Here we consider the in situ distribution of the putative metazoan *Cloudina* in the Nama Group, Namibia (ca. 550–541 Ma) (Fig. 1). While *Cloudina* has been documented to occupy diverse carbonate habitats globally (e.g., Grotzinger et al., 2005; Hua et al., 2005; Warren et al. 2011), we know little as to its environmental distribution, substrate preferences, and community dynamics, even though these are critical elements in the survival and success of benthic metazoans. Here, we use size data and ecological associations to explore the environmental controls on *Cloudina* within the context of these early metazoan life habits and ecosystems.

## **MORPHOLOGY AND GROWTH OF *CLOUDINA***

*Cloudina* is built of repeating funnel-like, adapically flaring tubes or cones set one within the next to form a distinctive cone-in-cone, eccentrically-built skeleton (Germs, 1972). *Cloudina* may have been a filter or suspension feeder of possible Cnidarian affinity, where soft-parts probably occupied the youngest cones only (Wood, 2011). *Cloudina* was capable of multiple reproductive modes, including aggregation and episodic larval settlement (Wood and Curtis, 2015), and clonal reproduction (Hua et al., 2005). From the ancestral cone (Fig. 2F), *Cloudina* shows a variety of growth modes where cones can be added at variable intervals, often manifest as external annular ridges, and can grow in size (diameter and height) to varying degrees. Growth mode A has densely stacked cones with rapid early cone size increase to achieve maximum diameter, which then remains constant. Growth mode B shows densely stacked cones with

continuous cone size increase through the lifetime of the individual. Growth mode C has a constant cone size with widely spaced cones. *Cloudina* assemblages can show directional orientation, which may indicate preferential growth into a nutrient-rich current as might be expected of passive suspension feeders (Penny et al., 2014).

Two species are described from the Nama Group (Germs, 1972): *Cloudina hartmanae* and *C. riemkeae*. Support for two species was provided by the disjunct distribution of tube diameter based on 200 individuals of *C. hartmanae* and *C. riemkeae* showing a mean tube diameter of 4.18 mm and 0.82 mm, respectively. *C. hartmanae* was also suggested to show growth modes A and B, but *C. riemkeae* growth modes A, B, and C, which often grew attached to *C. hartmanae* (Germs, 1972).

## GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE NAMA GROUP

The Nama Group (ca. 550 – 541 Ma) is a terminal Ediacaran succession of highly fossiliferous mixed clastics and carbonates ranging from supratidal to outer ramp settings with varying hydrodynamic conditions (Grotzinger and Miller, 2008). The Nama Group was deposited across the Zaris and Witputs sub-basins (Fig. 1A), which have been correlated using sequence stratigraphy, chemostratigraphy, and dated ash beds. The base of the Nama Group is estimated at ca. 550 Ma and the youngest dated ash bed 130 m below the top of the Nama Group yields a U-Pb date of  $540.61 \pm 0.67$  Ma (Grotzinger et al. [1995] as  $543.3 \pm 1$  Ma; recalculated by Schmitz [2012]). *Cloudina* is found in most carbonate units of the Nama Group (Fig. 1B).

Assemblages from three localities are considered in this study: Driedoornvlagte reef complex, Zebra River and Swartpunt (Fig. 1). Fe speciation, Fe/Al and cerium anomalies show these assemblages to have grown under stable, well-oxygenated

conditions (Wood et al., 2015; Tostevin et al., 2016). Localities span  $\geq 6$  m.y. from the Upper Omkyk Member ( $> 547$  Ma) to the top of the Spitskopf Member (ca. 541 Ma).

## METHODS

*Cloudina* fossils were identified as in situ on the basis of attachment either to each other or to a substrate. Common sinuous growth makes measurement of maximum length rarely possible, so tube diameter was used as a size indicator. Measurements were taken as either minimum diameter on bedding planes, or maximum diameters in longitudinal section via both counting within measured areas and through central reef transects approximately perpendicular to bedding (see Table DR1 in the GSA Data Repository<sup>1</sup>). Data are presented in 1 mm increment size bins where the limit of visual detection is taken to be  $\sim 0.25$  mm. Data were normalized by dividing frequencies within size bins by number of specimens, and Shapiro-Wilk statistical tests then used to determine whether data were normally distributed. Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests used to determine significant differences in distribution between localities (see the Data Repository).

## RESULTS

### *Cloudina* Ecological Associations

Three types of in situ *Cloudina*-dominated communities with different substrate preferences are noted:

- (1) Shallow-water, *Cloudina* reefs from the mid-ramp, high energy platform margin associated with coalesced thrombolite mounds of the final cycle of reef growth of the Upper Omkyk Member at Driedoornvlagte reef complex. Large *Cloudina* (*C. hartmanae*) reefs grew by mutual attachment (Fig. 2A), often via additional extra-tubular patches, or meniscus-like features (Penny et al., 2014). Individuals of small

*Cloudina* (*C. riemkeae*) formed reefs via attachment either to each other or to diffuse, micro-clotted, thrombolite (Wood and Curtis, 2015) (Fig. 2B). Early botryoidal cements are abundant and *Cloudina* has a variable, but dominantly sub-horizontal orientation. Both reefs types are composed of many repeated units or assemblages (Figs. 2A and 2B). These are 10–30 cm in height and laterally lens-shaped up to 3 m in length. The upper part of these units grade into a layer 5–32 mm thick, which is preferentially dolomitised, composed of an increasing density of micro-thrombotic clots, sediment and microbial laminae with *Cloudina* (Figs. 2A and B). The final microbial laminae often mark a sharp boundary before growth of the succeeding unit. More rarely a unit may be erosionally truncated (Fig. 2E). We noted at least 20 such units within one large *C. hartmanae* reef. The density of individuals within the *C. hartmanae* reefs have a mean of 48.34/100 mm<sup>2</sup> (range = 27–87/100 mm<sup>2</sup>; n = 435), *C. riemkeae* a mean of 482/100 mm<sup>2</sup> (range = 465–500/100mm<sup>2</sup>; n = 193). Smaller individuals often cluster in groups (Fig. 2E). Individuals ascribed to *C. hartmanae* are dominated by growth mode A, but B is also present. *C. riemkeae*, shows all growth modes A, B, and, more rarely, C.

(2) Elongate stromatolitic biostromes associated with packstones, cross-bedded grainstones and breccias from the storm-influenced deep inner ramp (Grotzinger et al., 2005) of the Upper Omkyk Member at Zebra River. *Cloudina* is found attached to large stromatolitic heads, often with encrusting botryoidal cement, in recumbent straight or sinuous form attached to the upper surfaces of, or embedded vertically or sub-horizontally within, stromatolite heads (Fig. 2C). The measured density of individuals on stromatolite heads have a mean of 7.86/100 mm<sup>2</sup> (range = 2–15/100

mm<sup>2</sup>; n = 228). Individuals show growth modes A and B. Inter-reef muds bear in situ, spiny *Namacalathus* (Penny et al., 2016) and *Cloudina* debris.

(3) Thin microbial mat communities from the low energy, flaggy wackstone units of both the outer and inner ramp highstand systems tract settings of the Spitzkopf Member at Swartpunt (Wood et al., 2015). Here, *Cloudina* grows horizontally attached to undulating microbial mats (Fig. 2D), often with a notable preferred orientation (Penny et al., 2014). The mean density on bedding planes is 5.40/100 mm<sup>2</sup> (range = 2–19/100mm<sup>2</sup>; n = 293). Individuals show growth modes A and B.

#### **Tube diameter Distribution of *Cloudina***

Normalized tube diameter distribution of all measured in situ *Cloudina* (n = 1145) follows an exponential distribution (Fig. 3A). By contrast, raw mean and range (Fig. 3C), and normalized distributions (Figs. 3B–3E) for each setting reveals size differences between assemblages. Shapiro-Wilk tests show that the size distributions of in situ assemblages are not normally distributed (Table DR2). Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests reject the null hypothesis that these size distributions are from the same population distribution. Differences between the *C. hartmanae* and *C. riemkeae* reefs, and the inter-stromatolite mud at Zebra River (Fig. 3D) are all statistically distinct at the 95% confidence level from each other and from all other settings (Table DR3). Other assemblages are significantly different to others at a 90% confidence level, except for Swartpunt –Upper which shows statistical similarities to several other assemblages.

Within a measured transect through the *C. hartmanae* reef, four successive assemblages (labeled 1–4) are distinguished by the position of final dolomitized layers with sharp tops (Fig. 4A). The transect reveals a marked periodicity in tube diameter,



where mean, maximum and minimum all vary synchronously through time within each successive assemblage (Fig. 4B). The largest minimum, mean and maximum tube sizes occur often, but not always, toward or within the final dolomitised layers of each assemblage. The intervals of notable increase and decrease of tube diameter occur over 1.3–3.2 cm of restored vertical thickness. A further rise and fall of maximum measurements is also evident in Assemblage 3. We estimate most *Cloudina* individuals to range from 20 to 50 mm in length, with a dominant growth mode A where inflation to maximum tube diameter occurs within the first 10 mm of growth.

## DISCUSSION

*Cloudina* occupied diverse carbonate settings in terms of water depth and hydrodynamic energy, and colonized varied substrates including other *Cloudina* individuals, thrombolites, stromatolites, and thin microbial mats. All these substrates were almost certainly lithified as facilitated by the high supersaturation of carbonate settings.

Statistical tests show that the *Cloudina* tube diameter distribution within inter-stromatolite mud at Zebra River is significantly different (95% confidence level) from all in situ cohorts (Figs. 3C and 3D). This confirms that detrital *Cloudina* size distribution should not be used as representative of any in situ community. Contrary to previous assessments (Germs, 1972), however, the exponential rather than bimodal distribution of tube diameter (Fig. 3A), shows that size should not be used as a species-specific trait. It is possible, however, that the restriction of growth mode C to some, small (<1 mm diameter) individuals, provides support for a separate species possessing a distinct growth

strategy. We also note that over the 6 m.y. interval explored here, *Cloudina* appears to be morphologically unchanged.

Statistical tests show that *C. hartmanae* and *C. riemkeae*, reef types show distinct tube diameter populations at a high confidence level (95%), and a possible difference between most other localities (confidence level 90%) (Figs. 3B and C). Within reefs, we also note a marked periodicity in tube diameter mean and range through the lifetime of each successive assemblage. Given that the majority of *Cloudina* individuals inflate in tube diameter within the first 10 mm of growth reaching up to 50 mm in length, we infer this periodicity to represent changes in the size of each successive sub-community that occupied the upper living reef surface, rather than any size increase through the lifetime of individuals (i.e., growth mode B). This in turn suggests that each apparent unit as bounded by dolomitic surfaces or truncations may in fact be composed of several successive sub-assemblages.

From these data we can conclude that individual size in *Cloudina* was controlled by the environment, and moreover that *Cloudina* could respond rapidly to changes in the environmental determinants of size. While it is possible that *Cloudina* was a rapidly-evolving specialist responding to environmental change, the fact that documented size changes were cyclical suggests that *Cloudina* shows considerable intraspecific size variation with a highly flexible and responsive growth pattern. Environmental controls on *Cloudina* size may include fluctuations in nutrient levels, hydrodynamic energy, space availability, or carbonate supersaturation. In addition, we note that *Cloudina* could produce varied skeletal innovations according to ecological need, such as the additional

skeletal structures between closely aggregating individuals in reef settings, inferred to impart rigidity (Penny et al., 2014; Wood and Curtis, 2015).

The broad size range and highly flexible growth of *Cloudina*, as well as a capability for diverse substrate colonisation also infers a generalist strategy. A similar generalist behavior with considerable intraspecific size variation has been noted in the contemporary skeletal metazoan *Namacalathus* (Penny et al., 2016). Indeed, such generalist behaviors might be expected of suspension-feeding benthos which show colonization via repeated broadcast larval spat falls to create dense stands of individuals, so minimising competition and increasing feeding efficiency (Wood and Curtis, 2015).

Exploitation of matgrounds is a dominant Ediacaran ecological strategy, but unlike soft-bodied Ediacaran biota, *Cloudina* had a preference for hard substrates. In the Nama Group skeletal metazoans occupied exclusively carbonate habitats but soft-bodied biota were restricted to clastic, usually transgressive tract settings, often within the same conformable sequences (Wood et al., 2015). So while contemporary, these biotas were spatially segregated with no apparent competition for substrates or other resources. Unlike the unlithified clastic microbial mat habitat, however, which was highly susceptible to removal by increasing bioturbation during the Cambrian, the lithified microbial substrate-epibenthic metazoan association persisted through the Paleozoic and beyond.

Ediacaran skeletal metazoan communities were of very low diversity, rarely reaching a maximum of three taxa (e.g., in the Nama Group - *Cloudina*, *Namacalathus*, and *Namapoikia*). This is in notable contrast to the first skeletal benthic metazoan communities of the early Cambrian Stage 2, which show up to seven taxa (Riding and

Zhuravlev, 1995). Although similarly attached to either microbial or other skeletal substrates, these metazoan communities were biogeographically distinct and also show specialist niche occupancy (Zhuravlev et al., 2015).

## CONCLUSIONS

In the terminal Ediacaran Nama Group, *Cloudina* displays a large in situ individual size range with an exponential distribution. We show size to be environmentally determined, responding rapidly to potentially periodically fluctuating controls, such as nutrient flux or supersaturation levels. We conclude that *Cloudina* was an aggregating generalist, able to colonize the varied lithified substrates available in Ediacaran carbonate settings. This flexibility enabled *Cloudina* to form ecologically diverse and responsive communities despite low taxonomic diversity via intraspecific cohorts adapted to different environmental conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Zhuravlev and Penny acknowledge support from the University of Edinburgh, and Penny from the International Centre for Carbonate Reservoirs and the Daniel Pidgeon fund of the Geological Society of London. We thank Helke Mocke and Charlie Hoffmann of the Geological Survey of Namibia, and the Ministry of Mines and Energy, Namibia. L. and G. Fourie of Zebra River, C. Husselman of Driedoornvlagte, and B. Roemer of Swartpunt are thanked for access to farms, and Mike Hall for technical support.

## REFERENCES CITED

Droser, M.L., Gehling, J.G., and Jensen, S., 2005, Ediacaran trace fossils: True and false, in Briggs, D.E.G., ed., *Evolving Form and Function: Fossils and Development*. A

- 250 Special Publication of the Peabody Museum of Natural History: New Haven,  
251 Connecticut, Yale University, p. 125–138.
- 252 Germs, G.J., 1972, New shelly fossils from the Nama Group, South-West Africa:  
253 American Journal of Science, v. 272, p. 752–761, doi:10.2475/ajs.272.8.752.
- 254 Grotzinger, J.P., and Miller, R.McG., 2008, Nama Group, *in* Miller, R., ed., The Geology  
255 of Namibia, v. 2: Geological Survey of Namibia, p. 13.229–13.272.
- 256 Grotzinger, J.P., Bowring, S.A., Saylor, B.Z., and Kaufman, A.J., 1995, Biostratigraphic  
257 and Geochronologic Constrains on Early Animal Evolution: Science, v. 270, p. 598–  
258 604, doi:10.1126/science.270.5236.598.
- 259 Grotzinger, J.P., Adams, E.W., and Schroeder, S., 2005, Microbial–metazoan reefs of the  
260 terminal Proterozoic Nama Group (*c.* 550–543 Ma), Namibia: Geological Magazine,  
261 v. 142, p. 499–517, doi:10.1017/S0016756805000907.
- 262 Hua, H., Chen, Z., Yuan, X., Zhang, L., and Xiao, S., 2005, Skeletogenesis and asexual  
263 reproduction in the earliest biomineralizing animal *Cloudina*: Geology, v. 33,  
264 p. 277–280, doi:10.1130/G21198.1.
- 265 Penny, A.M., Wood, R.A., Curtis, A., Bowyer, F., Tostevin, R., and Hoffman, K.H.,  
266 2014, Ediacaran metazoan reefs from the Nama Group, Namibia: Science, v. 344,  
267 p. 1504–1506, doi:10.1126/science.1253393.
- 268 Penny, A.M., Wood, R.A., Zhuravlev, A.Yu., Curtis, A., Bowyer, F., and Tostevin, R.,  
269 2016, Intraspecific variation in an Ediacaran skeletal metazoan: *Namacalathus* from  
270 the Nama Group, Namibia: Geobiology, doi:10.1111/gbi.12205.

- 271 Riding, R., and Zhuravlev, A.Yu., 1995, Structure and diversity of oldest sponge-microbe  
272 reefs: Lower Cambrian, Aldan River, Siberia: *Geology*, v. 23, p. 649–652,  
273 doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1995)023<0649:SADOOS>2.3.CO;2.
- 274 Schmitz, M.D., 2012, Radiogenic Isotope Geochronology, *in* Gradstein, F.M., et al., eds.,  
275 Geologic Time Scale 2012: Elsevier, p. 115–126, doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-59425-  
276 9.00006-8.
- 277 Sebens, K.P., and Johnson, A.S., 1991, Effects of water movement on prey capture and  
278 distribution of reef corals: *Hydrobiologia*, v. 226, p. 91–101,  
279 doi:10.1007/BF00006810.
- 280 Tostevin, R., Wood, R.A., Shields, G.A., Poulton, S.W., Guilbaud, R., Bowyer, F.,  
281 Penny, A.M., He, T., Curtis, A., Hoffman, K.H., and Clarkson, M.O., 2016, Low-  
282 oxygen waters limited habitable space for early animals: *Nature Communications*,  
283 v. 7, p. 12818, doi:10.1038/ncomms12818.
- 284 Warren, L.V., Fairchild, T.R., Gaucher, C., Boggiani, P.C., Poiré, D.G., Anelli, L.E., and  
285 Inchausti, J.C.G., 2011, *Corumbella* and *in situ Cloudina* in association with  
286 thrombolites in the Ediacaran Itapucumi Group, Paraguay: *Terra Nova*, v. 23,  
287 p. 382–389, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3121.2011.01023.x.
- 288 Wood, R.A., 2011, Paleoecology of the earliest skeletal metazoan communities:  
289 Implications for early biomineralization: *Earth-Science Reviews*, v. 106, p. 184–190,  
290 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.01.011.
- 291 Wood, R.A., and Curtis, A., 2015, Extensive metazoan reefs from the Ediacaran Nama  
292 Group, Namibia: the rise of benthic suspension feeding: *Geobiology*, v. 13, p. 112–  
293 122, doi:10.1111/gbi.12122.

Wood, R.A., Poulton, S.W., Prave, A.R., Hoffmann, K.-H., Clarkson, M.O., Guilbaud, R., Lyne, J.W., Tostevin, R., Bowyer, F., Penny, A.M., Curtis, A., and Kasemann, S.A., 2015, Dynamic redox conditions control late Ediacaran ecosystems in the Nama Group, Namibia: Precambrian Research, v. 261, p. 252–271, doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2015.02.004.

Zhuravlev, A.Yu., Naimark, E.B., and Wood, R.A., 2015, Control on the diversity and structure of earliest metazoan communities: Early Cambrian reefs from Siberia: Earth-Science Reviews, v. 147, p. 18–29, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.04.008.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Locations and stratigraphic setting of the Nama Group, Namibia. A: Simplified map showing geological setting, subgroups, and sub-basins of the late Ediacaran to early Cambrian Nama Group of southern Namibia (modified after Grotzinger and Miller, 2008). Locations: 1—Driedoornvlagte, 2—Zebra River, 3—Swartpunt. B: Stratigraphy of the northern Zaris and southern Witputs sub-basins with major sequences, dated ash beds, first and last appearances of *Cloudina* and Ediacaran biota, and major reefs (modified after Wood et al., 2015).

Figure 2. In situ *Cloudina* ecological associations from the Nama Group, Namibia. Reefs ascribed to *C. hartmanae* (A) and *C. riemkeae* (B) from the Upper Omkyk Member, Driedoornvlagte. The base and top of each assemblage is shown. C: Various orientations, including recumbent and sinuous *Cloudina* individuals (arrowed) attached to elongate stromatolite heads, from the Upper Omkyk Member, Zebra River. D: Many individuals (arrowed) attached horizontally to thin, patchy microbial mats (M) in the Spitzkopf

Member, Swartpunt. E: Clusters of small individuals (arrowed), and a truncation surface (double arrows) in reefs ascribed to *C. hartmanae*, Driedoornvlagte. F: Growth modes of *Cloudina*. From the ancestral cone, *Cloudina* can show either (A) rapid early cone size increase (diameter and height) to achieve maximum diameter which then remains constant, or (B) continuous cone size increase through the lifetime of the individual, or (C) no increase in cone size.

Figure 3. Normalized frequency distribution of *Cloudina* in binned tube diameter from the Nama Group, Namibia. A: Combined in situ data from Driedoornvlagte ('*C. hartmanae*' and '*C.riemkeae*' reefs), Zebra River and Lower and Upper Swartpunt. B: Distributions from in situ Driedoornvlagte (*C. hartmanae* and *C.riemkeae* reefs), Zebra River stromatolites and Upper and Lower Swartpunt. C: Box and whisker plots for Driedoornvlagte *C. hartmanae* (DR-H) and *C.riemkeae* reefs (DR-R), Zebra River stromatolites (ZR-S) and inter-reef mud (ZR-M), and Swartpunt - Lower (SWP-L) and Upper (SWP-U). D: Distribution of Zebra River stromatolites compared to individuals in inter-reef mud. E: Distribution of Lower and Upper Swartpunt assemblages.

Figure 4. Size distribution of *Cloudina hartmanae* along a tangential 100 cm transect through a reef, Driedoornvlagte, Namibia. Continuous white line is base of dolomitized layer; dotted white line is top of dolomitized layer. A: Field image of transect showing successively numbered assemblages. The restored approximate vertical height for each successive assemblage is 15.4, 14.8, 22.5 and 7.1 cm, respectively. B: Maximum (black),



339 minimum (blue) and mean (red) at 2 cm intervals through transect. Shaded areas show  
340 dolomitized layers.

341

342 <sup>1</sup>GSA Data Repository item 2017xxx, xxxxxxxx, is available online at

343 [www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft2017.htm](http://www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft2017.htm), or on request from [editing@geosociety.org](mailto:editing@geosociety.org).